Wound Management & Trouble shooting dressing selection

DR ANNE PURCELL RN NP BN MN PHD NURSE PRACTITIONER – WOUND MANAGEMENT, CCLHD APRIL 2022







Wounds Australia

- STANDARD 1: SCOPE OF PRACTICE
- STANDARD 2: COLLABORATIVE PRACTICE
- STANDARD 3: CLINICAL DECISION MAKING: ASSESSMENT
- STANDARD 4: CLINICAL DECISION MAKING: PLANNING AND PRACTICE
- STANDARD 5: DOCUMENTATION
- STANDARD 6: EDUCATION
- STANDARD 7: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE



Third Edition



Everything affects healing potential

- Comorbidities
- Previous surgery

- E - -
- BP, BSL, Pain, Weight, Mobility, Alcohol,
- Smoking, Anaemia, Oedema, Medications etc
- Blood profile, Microbiology, Nutritional status,
- Biopsy, Psychosocial, Environment, Vascular studies

Medications

- Corticosteroids affect every phase of wound healing
- Vasoconstrictors nicotine, epinephrine tissue hypoxia
- Antineoplastic drugs impede collagen synthesis
- Dilantin suppresses wound contraction
- NSAIDs & anticoagulants, vasodilators affects coagulation
- Hydroxyurea can cause leg ulcers

Antiseptics

- Chlorhexidine
- Povidone iodine
- Sodium hypochlorite



Diagnosis











Wound Assessment



WORLD UNION OF WOUND HEALING SOCIETIES WORLD UNION OF WOUND HEALING SOCIETIES

Location of Wound

- Gaiter venous
- Sacrum, heel, greater trochanter Pressure ulcer
- Dorsum of the foot Arterial or vasculitic ulcer
- Shin Necrobiosis lipoidica
- Lateral malleolus Venous, arterial, pressures, hydrea
- Planter and lateral aspect of foot & toes diabetic ulcer
- Sun exposed areas BCC, SCC



Excessive exudate – why?

- Must be controlled
- Infection/inflammation
- Dependency
- Cardiac, renal, liver
- Know your dressings
- Inability to cope with compression therapy



Wound Edge

- Sloping venous ulcer
- Punched out Arterial or vasculitic ulcer
- Rolled BCC, chronic
- Everted SCC
- Undermining Infection, TB, syphillis
- Purple Vasculitic eg PG



dbpedia.org

Squamous cell carcinoma



Basal cell carcinoma





Beyond the wound



The Skin:
Color
Temperature
Sensitivity
Fragility



The limb

- Calf, ankle, foot, arm
- Oedema
- Deformity
- Shape
- Mobility of the ankle & ptNails





When to assess wound-related pain (WRP)

Before dressing change

During dressing change



After dressing change

WUWHS, 2004

Pain types

NOCICEPTIVE

NEUROPATHIC

Throbbing Tender Aching Sharp Gnawing

Burning Stabbing Stinging Itchy Shooting Crawling **Pins and Needles** Painful Cold Numbness Electric shocks Tingling or prickling

MIXED





Non-viable tissue will inhibit wound healing by:

- Hindering adequate wound assessment
- Inhibiting wound granulation
- Preventing epithelial cell migration
- Encouraging bacterial growth \rightarrow infection
- Possibly causing malodour
- Increase metabolic demand
- Promoting protein loss







BIOFILMS



International Wound Infection Institute (IWII) Wound Infection in Clinical Practice. Wounds International. 2022



BIOFILMS

"planktonic bacteria & biofilms are as different as caterpillars and butterflies....same genotype, totally different phenotype"

Wolcott, 2008 hypp://bacteriality.com/2088/04/13/wolcott/



Persister cells: Dormant microbial cells that can survive antimicrobial treatments that kill the majority of their genetically identical siblings

(Stewart & Costerton, 2001)

Persister cells - estimated -0.1 - 10% of a biofilm Yang et al, 2015

Biofilm formation:

Stage 1 Reversable surface attachment - planktonic - minutes

Stage 2

Permanent surface attachment, change gene expression, more

attached, quorum sensing - 2-4 hours

Stage 3

Slimy protective matrix/biofilm - 6 – 12 hours

Stage 4

Increasing tolerance to biocides – mature biofilm - 2-4 days

Reformation – after debridement - 24 -72 hours (Bjarnsholt, T. et al., 2017)

How can clinicians assess biofilm? Can biofilms be seen on a wound?



Potential clinical biofilm indicators

- Implanted medical device
- Infection lasting > 30 days
 NB: mature biofilm can form in 24 hours
- Infections wax & wane = chronic infection



- Secondary signs of infection eg slow progress, exudate
- Incomplete response to antibiotics
- Build up at infection site eg slough

Parsek & Singh, 2003 Many references Table 1 in Wolcott, R. D., Rhoads, D. D., Bennett, M. E., Wolcott, B. M., Gogokhia, L., Costerton, J. W., et al. (2010). Chronic wounds and the medical biofilm paradigm. *Journal of Wound Care*, *19*(*2*), *45*-*46*, *48*-50, *52*-43.

More clinical indicators of biofilms

Maybe -

- Slough
- Maceration
- Tunnelling & undermining
- Edge rolled or rim of undermining &/or pain swelling or deterioration
- Hyperkeratosis not always due to pressure









Percival & Cutting, 2008

Understanding biofilms in wounds: key statements

 Biofilms are present in most chronic wounds – surface and deeper in wound layers – not uniform



- 2. Presence and response to biofilms delays wound healing
- Biofilms in chronic wounds are likely more established and mature



- Biofilm structure may promote presence of anaerobic bacteria
- 5. Biofilm may consist of a single or multiple bacterial species
- Microbial diversity in a wound (planktonic and biofilms) can be influenced by location and wound characteristics



- Biofilms may progress to contain fewer more dominant species over time
- 8. Biofilms are more tolerant to host immune response and can evade phagocytosis due to community defences
- Microbial diversity are not necessarily influenced by wound type

Schultz et al., (2017). Consensus guidelines for the identification and treatment of biofilms in chronic nonhealing wounds

Biofilm treatment strategies :

- 1. Biofilms should be considered in the treatment of poorly healing burns
- 2. Anti-biofilm strategies should continue to be used until the wound bed is visibly clean, displaying healthy granulation tissue, and/or on a healing trajectory
- 3. Debridement is one of the most important treatment strategies against biofilms, but does not remove all biofilm; cannot be used alone.....therapeutic window
- 4. Systemic antibiotics cannot eradicate a wound biofilm antibiotic stewardship
- 5. Choose topical antiseptics that have known anti-biofilm properties
- 6. Consider biofilm Rx strategies when risk if SSI & dehiscence
- 7. Biofilm treatments may be aligned across different types of chronic wounds

Biofilm based wound care

If wound not responding to:

- Optimal care
- To topical or systemic antimicrobial interventions

(Bjarnsholt et al., 2017)

Total eradication of a biofilm infection is still a treatment challenge

(Bjarnsholt et al., 2018)



- Bjarnsholt T, Eberlein T, Malone M, Schultz G (2017). Management of wound biofilm Made Easy. London: Wounds International 2017; 8(2). Available from: <u>www.woundsInternational.com</u>
- Bjarnsholt, T. et al., (2018) Biofilm formation what we can learn from recent developments. Journal of Internal Medicine, 284, 332-345

Dressing Selection



Dressings

Passive – gauze, non-adherent pads, impregnated contact layers

 Can be useful as secondary dressings, protection, atraumatic removal, some absorbency

Modern interactive dressings – films, foams, hydrocolloids, hydrogels, alginates, hydrofibre/gelling fibres, silicone

Medicated dressings – silver, iodine, honey, polyhexamethylene biguanide, enzyme alinogel, isotonic, hypertonic, capillary or hydroconductive action, hydrocapillary, odour absorbing

NPWT – Negative Pressure Wound Therapy

Bioactive dressings – keratin based, growth factors, collagen matrix/protease modelling

Biological skin substitutes – skin grafts, skin substitutes,

Adjunct therapies – HBO, electrical stimulation, ultrasound

Swanson, T. Modern dressings and technologies. Chapter 10. In: Swanson T, Asimus M, McGuiness B, eds. Wound Management for the Advanced Practitioner. Melbourne: IP Communications; 2014:238-269.

What's the goal?

- Reduce pain
- Rehydrate
- Absorb exudate
- Remove non-viable tissue
- Reduce bacterial load
- Fill dead space

The Ideal Dressing

- Maintains moist wound environment
- Protects surrounding skin at all costs
- Protects against mechanical trauma
- Removes debris
- Fills dead space
- Controls exudate
- Allows gaseous exchange if appropriate
- Is comfortable to wear

The Ideal Dressing cont...

- Is easy to apply
- Provides a barrier to pathogens
- Provides thermal insulation of wound
- Does not promote infection
- Does not shed fibres or leak out toxic substances
- Does not cause a sensitivity or allergic reaction
- Is adaptable to body parts
- Does not interfere with body function
- Is cost effective

How to measure success?

Patients and clinicians perspective

- By the number of wounds healed
- Wound free days
- Decreased wound size
- Decreased pain, odour, exudate
- Eradication of infection
- Increased HRQoL.

Ivins, N (date unknown)













































Collier, M. (2004).



Antiseptics, Disinfectants, Antibiotics

Antiseptics – topical agents prevent growth & reproduction DO NOT usually kill Disinfectants – kills however harmful to humans Antibiotics – slow the growth or kill microbes

NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST TO DECLARE













Polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB) & betaine













Silver dressings





Iodine products









DACC (dialkylcarbamoylchloride)



CONTINUE COLOGGE PAINTIGUOTI VILLOU











Case Study –

consent given by pt

Female

- **5**4 yrs
- HTN, Thromboplebitis, anxiety, smoker
- Medications coversyl, escitalopram
- Fall onto dirt minor graze left pretibial area
- Swelling, good pedal pulses, pain minimal
- Oral Antibiotics –Fluclox, Cipro, diclox. not effective.
- ■ED 1 x dose cefazolin IVI. Discharged on Keflex.

Consent given by pt











https://www.woundsaustralia.com.au/ www.wuwhs.org www.ewma.org http://www.woundinfection-institute.com/

https://www.woundsinternational.com/



Take home message

- Know and adhere to the Standards
- Think holistically
- Multi-D team
- Know your dressings
- If in doubt consider:
 - Wound-related pain
 - Exudate
 - Non-viable tissue
 - Microorganism burden
 - Wound specialist input



References

- Bjarnsholt T, Eberlein T, Malone M, Schultz G (2017). Management of wound biofilm Made Easy. London: Wounds International 2017; 8(2). Available from: www.woundsinternational.com
- Bjarnsholt, T. et al., (2018) Biofilm formation what we can learn from recent developments. Journal of Internal Medicine, 284, 332-345
- Carville, K. (2017) Wound Care manual. 7th Edition. WA, Osborne Park: Silver Chain Foundation
- Karukonda et al, 2000. The effects of drugs on wound healing Part 2.
- Lewis, K. (2007). Persister cells, dormancy and infectious disease. Nature Reviews. Microbiology. 5: 48-56
- International Wound Infection Institute (IWII) Wound Infection in Clinical Practice. Wounds International. 2022
- Ivans, N. (date unknown) 217.20.36.210/editorial/attachment.asp?aaid=448
- Parsek & Singh, 2003 Many references Table 1 in Wolcott, R. D., Rhoads, D. D., Bennett, M. E., Wolcott, B. M., Gogokhia, L., Costerton, J. W., et al. (2010). Chronic wounds and the medical biofilm paradigm. Journal of Wound Care, 19(2), 45-46, 48-50, 52-43.
- Phillips, P. Wolcott, R. Fletcher, J. & Schultz, G. (2010). Biofilms made easy. Wounds International. 1 (3).
- Principles of best practice: Minimising pain at wound dressing-related procedures. A consensus document. London: MEP Ltd, 2004.
- Percival, S. & Cutting, K. (2008). Microbiology of Wounds. London:CRC Press
- Stewart PS, Costerton JW (2001) Antibiotic resistance of bacteria in biofilms. Lancet 358(9276): 135–138
- Swanson, T. Modern dressings and technologies. Chapter 10. In: Swanson T, Asimus M, McGuiness B, eds. Wound Management for the Advanced Practitioner. Melbourne: IP Communications; 2014:238-269.
- World Union of Wound Healing Societies (WUWHS), Florence Congress, Position Document.
- Advances in wound care: the Triangle of Wound Assessment Wounds International, 2016
- Schultz et al., (2017). Consensus guidelines for the identification and treatment of biofilms in chronic nonhealing wounds. Wound Repair and Regeneration. 25, 744 757.
- Wolcott, 2008 hypp://bacteriality.com/2088/04/13/wolcott/
- Wounds Australia. (2016). 3rd Ed. Standards for Wound Prevention Management
- Yang, et al. (2015). Antibiotic regimen based on population analysis of residing persister cells eradicates Staphylococcus epidermidis biofilms. Sci Rep 5: 18578.



shutterstock.com · 1164664042